## CEPF SMALL GRANT FINAL PROJECT COMPLETION REPORT

Organization Legal Name:	Centre for Wildlife Studies
Project Title:	CEPF-ATREE RIT Site Visit to WCS Grant Site (Bhadra Tiger Reserve)
Date of Report:	
Report Author and Contact Information	Mr. N Samba Kumar

**CEPF Region: Western Ghats, India** 

**Strategic Direction:** 

CEPF Strategic Directions 2. Improve the conservation of globally threatened species through systematic conservation planning and action

IP 2.4 Support interdisciplinary efforts to analyze and disseminate biodiversity data.

**Grant Amount: \$ 1,129.94** 

Project Dates: 1st May 2012 to 31st May 2012

Implementation Partners for this Project (please explain the level of involvement for each partner):

Only grantee and RIT representative

#### **Conservation Impacts**

Please explain/describe how your project has contributed to the implementation of the CEPF ecosystem profile.

Site visit for Bhadra grantees as part of monitoring by RIT

Please summarize the overall results/impact of your project against the expected results detailed in the approved proposal.

Site visit for Bhadra grantees as part of monitoring by RIT

Please provide the following information where relevant:

**Hectares Protected: Nil** 

**Species Conserved: Nil** 

**Corridors Created: Nil** 

Describe the success or challenges of the project toward achieving its short-term and long-term impact objectives.

Not applicable

Were there any unexpected impacts (positive or negative)?

Not applicable

## **Lessons Learned**

Describe any lessons learned during the design and implementation of the project, as well as any related to organizational development and capacity building. Consider lessons that would inform projects designed or implemented by your organization or others, as well as lessons that might be considered by the global conservation community.

Site visit for Bhadra grantees as part of monitoring by RIT

Project Design Process: (aspects of the project design that contributed to its success/shortcomings)

Site visit for Bhadra grantees as part of monitoring by RIT

Project Implementation: (aspects of the project execution that contributed to its success/shortcomings)

Site visit for Bhadra grantees as part of monitoring by RIT

Other lessons learned relevant to conservation community:

#### ADDITIONAL FUNDING

Provide details of any additional donors who supported this project and any funding secured for the project as a result of the CEPF grant or success of the project.

Donor	Type of Funding*	Amount	Notes					

<sup>\*</sup>Additional funding should be reported using the following categories:

- A Project co-financing (Other donors contribute to the direct costs of this CEPF project)
- **B** Grantee and Partner leveraging (Other donors contribute to your organization or a partner organization as a direct result of successes with this CEPF project.)
- C Regional/Portfolio leveraging (Other donors make large investments in a region because of CEPF investment or successes related to this project.)

## Sustainability/Replicability

Summarize the success or challenge in achieving planned sustainability or replicability of project components or results.

None

Summarize any unplanned sustainability or replicability achieved. None

## **Safeguard Policy Assessment**

Provide a summary of the implementation of any required action toward the environmental and social safeguard policies within the project.

Not applicable

## **Performance Tracking Report Addendum**

## **CEPF Global Targets**

# (Enter Grant Term)

Provide a numerical amount and brief description of the results achieved by your grant.

Please respond to only those questions that are relevant to your project.

Project Results	Is this question relevant?	If yes, provide your numerical response for results achieved during the annual period.	Provide your numerical response for project from inception of CEPF support to date.	Describe the principal results achieved from 1 <sup>st</sup> May 2012 to 31st May 2012 (Attach annexes if necessary)
Did your project strengthen				Please also include name of the protected
management of a protected area				area(s). If more than one, please include the number of hectares strengthened for each one.
guided by a sustainable				number of flectares strengthened for each one.
management plan? Please indicate				
number of hectares improved.  2. How many hectares of new				Please also include name of the protected area. If
and/or expanded protected areas				more than one, please include the number of
did your project help establish				hectares strengthened for each one.
through a legal declaration or				_
community agreement?				
Did your project strengthen				
biodiversity conservation and/or				
natural resources management				
inside a key biodiversity area				
identified in the CEPF ecosystem				
profile? If so, please indicate how				
many hectares.				
4. Did your project effectively introduce or strengthen biodiversity				
conservation in management				
practices outside protected areas?				
If so, please indicate how many				
hectares.				
5. If your project promotes the				
sustainable use of natural				
resources, how many local				
communities accrued tangible				
socioeconomic benefits? Please				
complete Table 1below.				

If you answered yes to question 5, please complete the following table.

## **Table 1. Socioeconomic Benefits to Target Communities**

Please complete this table if your project provided concrete socioeconomic benefits to local communities. List the name of each community in column one. In the subsequent columns under Community Characteristics and Nature of Socioeconomic Benefit, place an X in all relevant boxes. In the bottom row, provide the totals of the Xs for each column.

	C	Com	mur	nity	Cha	racto	eristic	s	Nature of Socioeconomic Benefit												
Name of Community				es			he		Increased Income due to:			ue able	ater	other ng, tc.	6		c on,	al ntal	n- ed ce.		
	Small landowners	Subsistence economy	Indigenous/ ethnic peoples	Pastoralists/nomadic peoples	Recent migrants	Urban communities	Communities falling below the poverty rate	Other	Adoption of sustainable natural resources management practices	Ecotourism revenues	Park management activities	Payment for environmental services	Increased food security due to the adoption of sustainable fishing, hunting, or agricultural practices	More secure access to water resources	Improved tenure in land or other natural resource due to titling, reduction of colonization, etc.	Reduced risk of natural disasters (fires, landslides, flooding, etc)	More secure sources of energy	Increased access to public services, such as education, health, or credit	Improved use of traditional knowledge for environmental management	More participatory decision- making due to strengthened civil society and governance.	Other
							0 4														
		₩																			
		+																			
		1																			
		₩																			
		+-																			
		1																			
		₩																			
	1-	+																			
		₩		<u> </u>																	
	-	$\vdash$																			
	1	1																			
Total																					

If you marked "Other", please provide detail on the nature of the Community Characteristic and Socioeconomic Benefit:

## **Additional Comments/Recommendations**

NIL

## **Information Sharing and CEPF Policy**

CEPF is committed to transparent operations and to helping civil society groups share experiences, lessons learned, and results. Final project completion reports are made available on our Web site, www.cepf.net, and publicized in our newsletter and other communications.

## Please include your full contact details below:

Name: Mr. N Samba Kumar,

Organization name: Centre for Wildlife Studies

Mailing address: 1669, 31st Cross,16th Main,Banashankari 2nd Stage, Bangalore – 560070,

Karnataka, India Tel: 080-26715364 Fax: 080-26715255

E-mail: samba.wcs@gmail.com; admin@wcsindia.org