

## **GUINEAN FORESTS OF WEST AFRICA LOGICAL FRAMEWORK: 2016-2021**

| Objective                   | Target  | Means of Verification   | Important Assumptions               |
|-----------------------------|---|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Engage civil society in the | At least 60 local communities are empowered to              | Grantee and Regional    | The CEPF ecosystem profile          |
| conservation of globally    | engage in the sustainable management of priority sites      | Implementation Team     | effectively guides and              |
| threatened biodiversity     | and/or consolidate ecological connectivity at the           | performance reports.    | coordinates conservation action     |
| through targeted            | landscape scale.  |                         | in the Guinean Forest Hotspot.      |
| investments with            |   | Annual portfolio        |                                     |
| maximum impact on the       | At least 20 Key Biodiversity Areas targeted by CEPF         | overview reports.       | Stakeholder interest remains        |
| highest conservation        | grants have new or strengthened protection and              |                         | stable or increases with respect    |
| priorities.                 | management.   | Portfolio midterm and   | to working in partnership with      |
|                             |   | final assessment        | civil society organizations to      |
|                             | At least 100,000 hectares within production landscapes      | reports.                | achieve conservation outcomes.      |
|                             | are managed for biodiversity conservation or                |                         |                                     |
|                             | sustainable use.  | Protected Area          | Investments by other funders        |
|                             |   | Tracking Tool (SP1      | support complementary activities    |
|                             | Public policies and/or private sector business practices    | METT).                  | that reduce threats to priority     |
|                             | in at least 6 conservation corridors incorporate            |                         | corridors, sites and species, and   |
|                             | provisions for biodiversity conservation.                   | IUCN Red List of        | improve the operating               |
|                             |   | Threatened Species.     | environment for civil society.      |
|                             | Populations of at least 30 globally threatened species      |                         |                                     |
|                             | targeted by CEPF grants are stable or increasing.           | Civil society           | Regulatory and institutional        |
|                             |   | organizational capacity | environments for conservation       |
|                             | At least 15 networks are formed among civil society,        | tracking tool.          | and civil society engagement        |
|                             | government and private sector actors to facilitate          |                         | remain stable or improve over       |
|                             | capacity building, avoid duplication of effort and          | Strategies and reports  | time.                               |
|                             | maximize impact.  | of other donors.        |                                     |
|                             | <b></b>   |                         | Implementation of conservation      |
|                             | At least 50 civil society organizations, including at least |                         | initiatives and operations of civil |
|                             | 10 Indigenous People's, women's and/or youth groups,        |                         | society organizations are not       |
|                             | demonstrate improvements in organizational capacity.        |                         | prevented by political instability, |
|                             | landa da d                 |                         | public health emergencies or        |
|                             | Investment strategies of at least 2 other donors active     |                         | other crises.                       |
|                             | in the Guinean Forests incorporate geographic and/or        |                         |                                     |
|                             | thematic priorities from the ecosystem profile.             |                         |                                     |

| Intermediate Outcomes   | Intermediate Indicators  | Means of Verification   | Important Assumptions   |
|---|--|---|---|
| Outcome 1: Local communities are empowered to engage in sustainable management  | At least 15 local land-use plans elaborated and implemented to facilitate good governance in the management of community and private reserves and  | Official land-use plans.  Pre- and post-activity  | Local communities are willing to play an active role in site-based conservation.  |
| of 40 priority sites and consolidate ecological connectivity at the landscape scale. \$3,000,000  | At least 10 local and indigenous communities are trained to initiate and advocate for land tenure and forestry reforms in relation to management of community and private reserves and concessions.  | training needs assessment reports.  Approved participatory management plans.  Human wellbeing   | Local governments are receptive to participation of local and indigenous communities in reform and implementation of policies related to land and natural resource management.  |
|   | At least 10 participatory management plans that support stakeholder collaboration in Protected Area management are prepared and implemented.  At least 30 local communities targeted by sustainable livelihood/job creation activities or benefit-sharing mechanisms show tangible wellbeing benefits.   | monitoring reports.  Grantee and Regional Implementation Team performance reports.  Secretariat supervision mission reports.  | Government policies continue to provide for participatory management of protected areas.  Appropriate, cost-effective protocols for monitoring human well-being impacts can be developed.   |
| Outcome 2: Biodiversity conservation mainstreamed into public policy and private sector practice in the nine conservation corridors, at local, sub-national and national levels.  \$2,000,000 | At least 5 conservation-related policies of national governments are informed or influenced by research, analysis and outreach supported by CEPF grants.  Locally-relevant information on natural ecosystems is generated for at least 20 key biodiversity areas and used to influence political and economic decision-making in favor of their conservation  At least 20 partnerships are formed or strengthened among civil society, government, private sector and communities to promote best practices in mining, | National government conservation policies.  Reports on the values of natural ecosystems.  Partnership agreements.  Corporate sustainability strategies, annual reports and media. | Governments and companies remain committed to sustainable development goals.  Governments create space for civil society to engage in policy reform processes.  Economic decision making can be influenced by arguments about the values of natural ecosystems. |
|   | sustainable forestry and agriculture by private companies.  At least 5 private companies adopt new management practices consistent with biodiversity conservation at operations in the conservation corridors.   | Grantee and Regional Implementation Team performance reports.  Secretariat supervision mission reports.   | Sufficient civil society capacity to undertake biodiversity mainstreaming exists or can be built.   |

| Intermediate Outcomes  | Intermediate Indicators   | Means of Verification  | Important Assumptions  |
|--|---|--|--|
| Outcome 3: Priority globally threatened species are safeguarded by identifying and addressing major threats and information gaps.  \$1,200,000   | Priority actions identified in Conservation Action Plans are implemented for at least 15 Critically Endangered and Endangered species.  The inventory of Key Biodiversity Areas in the hotspot is updated to fill critical information gaps, particularly with regard to the Lower Guinean Forests subregion, and freshwater ecosystems.  The global conservation status of at least 100 species from poorly assessed taxonomic groups is updated or assessed for the first time on the IUCN Red List.  | World Bird and Biodiversity Database.  IUCN Red List of Threatened Species.  Grantee and Regional Implementation Team performance reports.  Secretariat supervision mission reports.                                     | Sufficient civil society capacity to conceive and implement species conservation actions exists or can be built.  The main causes of declines in specific species populations are amenable to conservation action and can be addressed within the timeframe of the investment.  Organizations and individuals holding data on species and sites are willing to share it.   |
| Outcome 4: Capacity of local civil society organizations, including Indigenous People's, women's and youth groups built to conserve and manage globally important biodiversity.  \$1,300,000 | At least 50 local civil society organizations, including at least 10 Indigenous People's organizations, demonstrate strengthened capacity with regard to financial, institutional and project management, organizational governance, and fundraising.  At least 20 women-led conservation and development organizations, associations and networks are established and strengthened to foster gender equality in natural resource management and benefit sharing.  At least 20 local civil society organizations demonstrate increased communication capacity in ways that support the delivery of their mission. | Pre- and post-activity training needs assessment reports.  Civil society organizational capacity tracking tool.  Grantee and Regional Implementation Team performance reports.  Secretariat supervision mission reports. | Civil society actors are able to work collaboratively to respond to conservation challenges.  The operating environment for civil society remains constant or improves across the hotspot  Key capacity limitations of civil society organizations can be addressed through trainings, mentorship and other activities suitable for grant support.  Civil society organizations are able to retain trained staff who benefit from capacity building opportunities. |

| Intermediate Outcomes  | Intermediate Indicators   | Means of Verification   | Important Assumptions   |
|--|---|---|---|
| Outcome 5: A Regional Implementation Team provides strategic leadership and effective coordination of CEPF conservation investment in the Guinean Forests Hotspot. \$1,500,000 | At least 60 civil society organizations, including at least 30 local and indigenous NGOs actively participate in conservation actions guided by the ecosystems profile.  At least 85 percent of local NGOs receiving grants demonstrate more effective capacity to design and implement conservation actions.  At least 5 civil society organizations supported by CEPF secure follow-up funding to promote the sustainability of their CEPF grants.  At least \$1 million in additional funding is leveraged from other donors towards the priorities set in the ecosystem profile.  At least 2 participatory assessments are undertaken and documented. | Civil society organizational capacity tracking tool.  Portfolio midterm and final assessment reports.  Grantee and Regional Implementation Team performance reports.  Secretariat supervision mission reports.  Strategies and reports of other donors. | Suitably qualified organizations will apply to serve as the Regional Implementation Team in line with the approved terms of reference and the ecosystem profile.  Calls for proposals will elicit appropriate proposals that advance the goals of the ecosystem profile.  Civil society organizations will collaborate with each other, other donors, government agencies, and private sector actors in a coordinated regional conservation program in line with ecosystem profile. |
| Funding Summary  | Amount  |   |   |
| Total Budget   | \$9,000,000   |   |   |